

# The Purpose of Creation

K J Cronin

## Introduction

Maimonides described the question of God having a purpose for His creation as “*absurd*” and declared that there is no single and ultimate purpose for Creation. Hasdai Crescas, by contrast, believed that God’s purpose for Creation is that man shall be together with God in a relationship of perfect and eternal love. I feel sure that Moses would have agreed with Hasdai Crescas, in general if not in specifics, and will explain why in what follows.

However, when considering the purpose of Creation it is important to keep in mind one very important distinction, one that tends to get lost in the terminology employed when discussing this subject. That distinction is between God’s purpose for His creation on the one hand and man’s purpose within His creation on the other, the latter of which is frequently and ambiguously referred to as ‘*the meaning of life*’. Needless to say the two purposes are linked but they are not identical and it is important to identify both if we are to be able to confidently answer all questions pertaining to this most important subject. In what follows I will therefore seek answers to two questions: What is God’s purpose for His creation, including God’s purpose in creating man, and what is man’s purpose in Creation?

In the following explanation Part 1 and the first passage of Part 2 are identical to Part 1 and the first passage of Part 2 of the *Explanation of the Meaning of the Name*. The reason for this is that both explanations derive from the same fundamental premises, which are that God is One in His Person and perfect in unity in the condition of His essence, and because the first five passages are equally a part of both explanations.

The format is identical to that of the *Explanation of the Meaning of the Name*.

## AN EXPLANATION OF THE PURPOSE OF CREATION

1

There is a God.

There is only one God.

God is the only creator.

The Creator must be before His creation can be.

Therefore,

**God was before He created.**

All that is not God is His Creation.<sup>1</sup>

All-That-Is is God and His Creation.

God Was before He created.

Therefore there was a condition of All-That-Is where there was only God.

**Before He created, All-That-Is was God.**

God is perfect.

Disunity is imperfect.

Therefore in God there is no disunity.

In God there is perfect unity.

**God in Himself is perfect in unity.**

Perfect unity is the condition of existence in which there are no differences by which  
to be distinguished.

It is the condition of perfect oneness in all aspects of existence.

In perfect unity there is no distinction of one from another.

**In perfect unity there is only one.<sup>2</sup>**

Perfect unity is the condition of existence in which there are no differences by which to be distinguished.

Therefore in the condition of existence where All-That-Is was God, and He was perfect in unity, the only conceivable object of perception would have been identical to the mind that would perceive it.<sup>3</sup>

In such a condition of existence there was neither subject to perceive nor object to be perceived.

If there was neither subject to perceive nor object to be perceived, then there can have been no activity of mind.

**Therefore in the condition of existence where All-That-Is was God, there can have been no activity of mind.**

Mind is the capability to experience existing.

Therefore the experience of existing requires the activity of mind.

**Therefore when All-That-Is was God, there was in Him no experience of existing.**

All experience of existing is had by mind.

The mind of God is active only in His relation to other.

All that is other than God is His creation.

**Therefore in God all experience of existing is had in His relation to His creation.**

Before He created, All-That-Is was God.

Therefore before He created, His was the condition of perfect solitary existence.

If perfect solitary existence had been for God the perfect condition of existence then

He would not have created, but He did create.

That God did create informs us that the condition of existence in which He is in relation to His own creation is preferable to the condition of perfect solitary existence.

That the condition of existence in which He is in relation to His own creation is preferable to the condition of perfect solitary existence informs us that He will not return to a condition of perfect solitary existence.

To do so would be to render all of His works purposeless or it would imply that God had made a mistake.

God does not act purposelessly and He does not make mistakes.

**Therefore the condition of existence that is preferable to God is that in which He is in eternal relation to His creation.**

God is perfect.

Therefore the condition of existence that He prefers must also be perfect for the purpose that He has ordained for it.<sup>4</sup>

**Therefore the perfect condition of existence for God is that in which He is in eternal relation to His creation.**

The perfect experience of existing can only be had in the perfect condition of existence.

**Therefore in God the perfect experience of existing will be had in His eternal relation to His creation.**

4

In the beginning Creation was without form.

Therefore in the beginning God's experience of existing was entirely that of Him in relation to His formless creation.

If His experience of existing in relation to His formless creation had been His perfect experience of existing then He would not have given His creation form, but He did give it form.

**Therefore in God the perfect experience of existing will be had in His eternal relation to His formed creation.**

God could have formed His creation without causing it to be inhabited by living creatures.

If His experience of existing in relation to a cosmos without living creatures had been His perfect experience of existing then He would not have created living creatures, but He did create living creatures.

Living creatures suffer.

God is perfect in love and is the owner of all power.

He did not needlessly create suffering.

The presence of suffering in His creation tells us that His living creatures are necessary for God to have His perfect experience of existing.<sup>5</sup>

**Therefore in God the perfect experience of existing will be had in His eternal relation to His living creatures.**

5

The perfect experience of existing is the experience of perfect love.

In God the perfect experience of existing will be had in His eternal relation to His living creatures.

**Therefore in God the perfect experience of existing will be the experience of perfect love in His eternal relation to His living creatures.**

That the experience of perfect love in relation to His living creatures will be God's perfect experience of existing means that to have this experience must be His ultimate purpose in creating.

**Therefore God's ultimate purpose in creating is that He shall have the experience of perfect and eternal love in relation to His living creatures.**

The experience of love cannot be perfect unless it is reciprocated.

Therefore God's experience of love will be perfect only when the love that He has for His creatures is reciprocated by them.

Within Creation it is only those creatures endowed with the capacity to love who can  
love God.

**Therefore God's ultimate purpose in creating is that He shall have the  
experience of perfect and eternal love in relation to those of His  
creatures who are endowed with the capacity to love Him.**

6

God's ultimate purpose in creating will not be fully realised until those of His  
creatures endowed with the capacity to love Him reciprocate the love that He has for  
them.

Therefore the purpose that God has ordained for all creatures who are endowed with  
the capacity to love Him is to love Him as fully as they are able.

**Therefore the individual purpose of each and every creature endowed with the  
capacity to love God is to love Him as fully as they are able.**

We are such creatures.

**Therefore the individual purpose of each and every one of us is to love God  
as fully as we are able.**

7

Our purpose in Creation is articulated as follows in the first two verses of the most  
important prayer in Judaism; the Shema.

**“Hear, O Israel! Yahweh is our God, Yahweh alone.  
You shall love Yahweh your God with all your heart and with all your soul  
and with all your might.” (Deuteronomy 6:4-5)**

## **Commentary on the Explanation of the Purpose of Creation**

What follows is a commentary on the Explanation of the Purpose of Creation. The first three paragraphs are identical to the first three paragraphs of the Commentary on the Explanation of The Meaning of the Name in Part II of this website. That is because the two Explanations are identical in their early passages and that is because they are both based upon the same few fundamental understandings of God. The commentary is intended to be read alongside the Explanation of the Purpose of Creation as a companion to what can in places be a demanding read. The latter four paragraphs of this commentary are very close in content to the Explanation itself, only differing in terms of brevity. That is because the latter part of the Explanation is self-explanatory.

The Explanation begins with the assertions that there is a God and that there is only one God. I say that there is only one God because this understanding is what allows me to make perfect sense of everything in existence that has come to my awareness. If something makes perfect sense then as far as I am concerned it is true, in the absence of evidence to the contrary. If we were to assert that there is more than one God (or divine persons) then among the questions that must be asked is, why would they create at all? Was their own perfect company not sufficient for them without creating imperfect humans and other animals, and if not why not? And if their perfect divine company was sufficient for them, then how can they justify creating animals who would then suffer for no necessary reason? This does not make sense and so as far as I am concerned it is not true. Therefore, there is only one God. Because there is only one God, He must be the only creator and as the only creator He must have existed before there was a creation. Therefore, God was before He created.

I consider the place of suffering in the creation of one perfect God later in the Explanation of the Purpose of creation.

Having established that God was before He created, I next assert that all that is not God is His creation, or to put it another way, All-That-Is is God and His creation. Once again, I assert this because it is in the light of this understanding that everything in existence makes perfect sense to me, and, as I have already said, if it makes perfect sense then it is true. There was no other ‘stuff’ in existence that God did not create and that just happened to be by His side and that just happened to be perfectly suited to His creative purpose. To suggest that there was is to imply another, greater intelligence beyond God that created both God and the ‘stuff’ he used for creation, and so that greater intelligence would in turn be God, which is therefore pointless

speculation. Because of this I conclude that before God created, He was All-That-is, the totality of existence besides Whom there was no other.

I next assert that God is perfect. Once again, I assert this because His perfection allows me to make perfect sense of everything in existence that has come to my awareness and if it makes perfect sense then it is true, and because there is nothing in existence that would imply His imperfection. By virtue of that perfection, He is perfect in unity. I define perfect unity as the condition of existence in which there are no differences by which to be distinguished. I believe that there can be no rational debate on this point. Even Christians acknowledge it, although their aversion to the concept of unity - connoting as it does the number one - causes them to speak instead of God being perfect in simplicity, which is the same thing. Therefore, in perfect unity there is only one, which means that God is the perfect One in every conceivable sense. There is in God no multiplicity of any kind.

I next restate my assertion that perfect unity is the condition of existence in which there are no differences by which to be distinguished. Because of that, and because before God created He was All-That-Is and perfect in unity, there was in that condition of existence nothing for His mind to be aware of. Therefore, in that condition of existence there was in God no activity of mind and hence no experience of existing because all experience of existing is had by mind. Because all that is not God is His creation, we may confirm that all of His experience of existing is had in His relation to His creation. By a process of straightforward, stepwise reasoning I then conclude that in God the perfect experience of existing will be had in His eternal relation to His formed creation.

I next consider the place of living creatures in Creation and at this point introduce the experience of suffering by such creatures. I reason that the presence of suffering in God's creation tells us that His living creatures are necessary for Him to have His perfect experience of existing, because otherwise there can be no Justification for their suffering. In a footnote to this point (footnote 5), I explore the place of suffering in Creation. I believe this footnote is not difficult to follow and so I will not repeat it or elaborate upon it here. However, I would recommend it to anyone who is interested in why there is suffering in the creation of the One who is perfect in power and love. I conclude this passage of the Explanation by stating that in God the perfect experience of existing will be had in His eternal relation to His living creatures.

I next state the premise that the perfect experience of existing is the experience of perfect love, to which I believe there can be no compelling objection. God can only experience such love in relation to His living creatures and so I conclude that in God the perfect experience of existing will be the experience of perfect love in His eternal relation to His living creatures. That the experience of perfect and eternal love in relation to His living creatures will be God's perfect experience of existing means that to have this experience must be His ultimate purpose in creating. The experience of love cannot be perfect unless it is reciprocated. Therefore, God's ultimate purpose in creating is that He shall have the experience of perfect and eternal love in relation to those of His creatures who are endowed with the capacity to love Him.

Because God's ultimate purpose in creating will not be fully realised until those of His creatures endowed with the capacity to love Him reciprocate the love that He has for them, I conclude that the purpose that God has ordained for all creatures who are endowed with the capacity to love Him is to love Him as fully as they are able. Hence the individual purpose of each and every one of us is to love God as fully as we are able. I conclude with the opening verses of the most important prayer in Judaism, the Shema: "Hear, O Israel! Yahweh is our God, Yahweh alone. You shall love Yahweh your God with all your heart and with all your soul and with all your might." (Deuteronomy 6:4-5)

I hope that it is now clear just how important it is to understand the purpose of creation, given that to identify it requires an understanding of God in Himself before He created and of Him in His eternal relation to His creation. There is no deeper an understanding of God than this.

## **Conclusion**

That is why I believe Moses would have been in at least general agreement with Hasdai Crescas.<sup>6 7 8</sup>

You will recall that I set out above to identify both the purpose that God has for His creation and our individual purpose within His creation. The former of these ends Part 5 of the explanation and reads: *God's ultimate purpose in creating is that He shall have the experience of perfect and eternal love in relation to those of His creatures who are endowed with the capacity to love Him.* The latter of them ends Part 6 of the explanation and reads: *The individual purpose of each and every one of us is to love God as fully as we are able.*

To love God is one of the 613 commandments traditionally identified in the Torah. However, we are also commanded to fear God (Deut.4:10, 6:13, 10:20) and some might understandably wonder how these two commandments can be reconciled, especially in the same person and at the same time. Indeed some people might wonder why anyone should fear God at all. That He has complete power over the whole of Creation is obviously good enough reason to fear Him but many will know from experience that those who pay no heed to God are not directly struck down and that the possibility of being struck down in some manner after they die is apparently too remote to be persuasive. However, I believe that there is a much better reason to fear God, especially for those who already love Him. That reason finds expression in the concept of *Devekut*, the mystical cleaving to God that in Kabbalah refers to the experience of both loving God and fearing Him.<sup>9</sup>

Many people wish to get as close as possible to God while they are alive and for the thinker the effort to do so includes thinking our way towards Him. I take it as axiomatic that the more we understand of God through contemplation the more do we know Him in reality and the more that we know Him in reality the greater will be His presence in our lives and the greater His presence in our lives the greater an impact will His Personal reality make upon us. Under certain circumstances that impact can be so great as to cause us to experience fear. I believe that the experience of fearing God is an entirely natural response to an authentic encounter with the infinitely impressive Personal reality that is God. Perhaps this fear is the experience of one who is limited encountering the One who is unlimited, of the finite encountering The Infinite. Or perhaps it is the experience of the creature encountering the ineffably awesome mystery and perfection of their Creator. Whatever the reason, I do believe that it is both entirely natural and deeply spiritually healthy to experience fear of God.

When contemplating God causes the thinker to experience fear, I believe that fear will in time always result in an increase in love for Him. I say so because when we experience fear of God through contemplation we do so because we have come to understand Him more fully and in that greater understanding we encounter Him more fully and in that fuller encounter we grow in love for Him because, after all, He is perfect. Perhaps that is why it is written that the fear of God is the beginning of wisdom and knowledge (Prov.1:7, 2:5, 9:10).

This is why I have no doubt that the commandment to fear God is not only reasonable but also highly desirable and second only in desirability to loving Him. To

love God with all of our heart and with all of our soul and with all of our might is in my opinion and without any doubt the ultimate purpose of all of our strivings.

March 26<sup>th</sup> 2023

[www.exodus-314.com](http://www.exodus-314.com)

## References and Endnotes

---

<sup>1</sup> That is to say, God created all that is not Him and before He created there was only Him. I have made this point in two different ways and in successive lines because I particularly want to impress it upon all who are contemplating God to any extent. There is no more fundamental and crucial an understanding of God than that before He created He was All-That-Is, the totality of existence, The Perfect One besides Whom there was no other.

<sup>2</sup> For an authoritative statement of the Jewish understanding of the perfect unity of God see Maimonides' *Guide*, Ch.51 (LI) where he puts it as follows: "*Belief in unity cannot mean essentially anything but the belief in one single homogenous uncompounded essence; not in a plurality of ideas but in a single idea. Whichever way you look at it, and however you examine it, you must find it to be one, not dividing itself in any manner or for any reason into two ideas. No plurality must be discoverable in it either in fact or in thought*" (Quoted from: Maimonides, *The Guide of the Perplexed, An Abridged Edition with Introduction and Commentary by Julius Guttmann, Translated from the Arabic by Chaim Rabin*, Indianapolis: Hackett Publishing Company, 1995, p.67-68).

Pines translates the same passage as follows: "*For there is no oneness at all except in believing that there is one simple essence in which there is no complexity or multiplication of notions, but one notion only; so that from whatever angle you regard it and from whatever point of view you consider it, you will find that it is one, not divided in any way and by any cause into two notions; and you will not find therein any multiplicity either in the thing as it is outside of the mind or as it is in the mind*" (Pines S., Vol. I, University of Chicago press, 1963, p.113).

---

Alternatively, Friedlander's translation of this passage is available online at:  
<http://www.sacred-texts.com/jud/gfp/gfp061.htm>, p.69.

<sup>3</sup> For an authoritative Jewish statement of this understanding, see: Maimonides M. *Guide*, Ch.53 (LIII), where he put it thus: "*if by wisdom we understand the consciousness of self... the subject and the object of that consciousness are undoubtedly identical [as regards God]: for according to our opinion He is not composed of an element that apprehends and another that does not apprehend*". Available online at: <http://www.sacred-texts.com/jud/gfp/gfp063.htm>, p.74.

Pines translates the same extract as follows: "*For we wished to signify by "knowledge" the apprehension of one's own essence. Now the essence that apprehends is undoubtedly the same as the essence that is apprehended. For in our opinion He is not composed of two things, the thing that apprehends and another thing that does not apprehend.*" (Pines, Vol. I, p.122).

<sup>4</sup> In connection with this please see the *Diagram* in Part II of the main paper, *The Name of God as Revealed in Exodus 3:14*. The features of the *Diagram* I would draw your attention to are first that in God purpose and will are ontologically anterior to the activity of mind, and second that the beginning of God's creating was effected by His will under the direction of His purpose and in all power. God's formless creation is what His mind became active in relation to and His active mind, by His word, gave form to His formless creation.

<sup>5</sup> Living creatures must be necessary to God because there is otherwise no way to make sense of their suffering in the creation of One who is perfect in love and to whom all power belongs. If they were not necessary to God then their suffering in His creation would contradict His perfection.

It is not difficult to understand why living creatures suffer providing it is understood and kept always in mind that before God created He was All-That-Is, the totality of existence, The Perfect One besides Whom there was no other. Given this understanding the question that must be asked is how does God come to be in true relationships with the persons who are His creatures when they are from His own essence and are bearers of His own life (In God life is identical to essence)? I will tell you what I believe.

---

I believe that our God-given souls are identical to our persons and that they are given to us by God in our first instant of individual existence. Those God-given persons are rudimentary and must grow if they are to become truly individual persons. I believe that creation has a divinely ordained purpose and that there must be a true relationship between God and His creatures for that purpose to be brought to pass. That true relationship requires that the Person of God and the persons of His creatures are truly distinct and that requires the growth of the rudimentary persons and that can involve the suffering of His creatures. I believe that suffering commonly causes the personal growth of the sufferer independent of their faith and that it not uncommonly brings the sufferer with faith into closer relation to God and can even be responsible for the sufferer's first experience of faith. Suffering also evokes the love that is compassion both in the one who suffers and in others who may themselves have had no experience of suffering and in this way can cause the personal growth of both. I believe that it is the personal growth of the one who suffers and the one who feels compassion that is necessary for true relationships with God.

That is how suffering makes sense to me. That living creatures suffer tells us two things. First that His creatures are necessary to God and second that the suffering of His creatures is necessary to God because it would otherwise have no place in His creation. The presence of suffering in His creation perhaps tells us just how important a part it plays in the personal growth of His creatures. Having said that I believe that it is a very important part of our job in Creation to do all that we can to relieve the burden of suffering within it, which we can do by showing compassion to all of God's sentient creatures. Such compassion is not only associated with personal growth; it is a way of loving God in practice, which brings me back to the explanation.

<sup>6</sup> Crescas H., *Sefer Or Adonai*. The *Sefer Or Adonai* is not available in English but substantial extracts of it are available in: Wolfson H., *Crescas' Critique of Aristotle*, (Cambridge Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1929). An extract from Wolfson's text is available at: <http://www.dvjc.org/discussion/messages/323.html>, where you will find the following: "*Just as objects produced by men have a purpose, so the Torah, produced by the Prime Intellect (God), must have purpose. It is the purpose of the Torah to effect in the one to whom it is addressed love for man, correct opinions, and physical felicity, which are all subsumed under one final goal—spiritual felicity, the*

---

*infinite love for God. But even for God, the Commander, the Torah has a purpose, namely to bestow His infinite love upon His creatures. Against both Platonism and Aristotelianism, Crescas argues that God's love for man is stronger than man's love for God, for God's infinite essence is the source of both loves. Man's love for God results in devekut ("conjunction" or "communion") with God; for among spiritual beings, as well as among physical objects, love and concord are the causes of perfection and unity. Love, the purpose of Torah, is the purpose also of man, and, further, of all that is".*

<sup>7</sup> To read what Maimonides has to say about the purpose of creation, see: Maimonides M., *Guide of the Perplexed*, Part 3, Ch.13 (XIII), available at: <http://www.sacred-texts.com/jud/gfp/gfp149.htm>.

The following are extracts from his essay on the subject and a brief analysis of my own. His words are in italics and my comments in brackets.

Page 1....*"Intelligent persons are much perplexed when they inquire into the purpose of the Creation. I will now show how absurd this question is."* (His opening words.)

Line 2.....*"An agent that acts with intention must have a certain ulterior object in that which he performs. This is evident, and no philosophical proof is required."* (Here, despite his opening words, he appears to be acknowledging that God must have created with a purpose.)

Line 9.....*"According to these propositions it is clear that the purpose is sought for everything produced intentionally by an intelligent cause; that is to say, a final cause must exist for everything that owes its existence to an intelligent being"* (Again he seems to be acknowledging that there must be an ultimate purpose to creation because God is 'an intelligent being'.)

Page 273, L.8.....*"But the existence of an ultimate purpose in every species, which is considered as absolutely necessary by every one who investigates into the nature of things, is very difficult to discover: and still more difficult is it to find the purpose of the whole Universe"* (This is where his difficulty with the subject becomes apparent and where his thinking begins to go astray. Maimonides evidently hadn't made sense of the purpose of creation to his own satisfaction and in order not to leave his readers

---

in a state of perplexity he does the only other thing he can do, which is to set about demonstrating that there is no purpose to creation.)

Page 274, L.5..... *“Even if the Universe existed for man's sake and man existed for the purpose of serving God, as has been mentioned, the question remains, what is the end of serving God? He does not become more perfect if all His creatures serve Him and comprehend Him as far as possible; nor would He lose anything if nothing existed beside Him”* (Note that Maimonides here considers the possibility of man having been created for a purpose but his conception of God was such that he could not consider a true relationship between God and man, for which see Guide Ch.52 (LII) p.71 at <http://www.sacred-texts.com/jud/gfp/gfp062.htm>. Maimonides thought of God primarily in terms of His essential perfection and I believe he did so to the detriment of God's Personal perfection. Of course we do not have any effect upon God's essential perfection but we must have an effect upon His Personal experience of perfection or else we wouldn't be here, as I have explained above.)

Page 274, L.10.....*“We must in continuing the inquiry as to the purpose of the creation at last arrive at the answer, It was the Will of God, or His Wisdom decreed it; and this is the correct answer”* (At this stage it is clear that Maimonides has in fact given up on discovering the purpose of creation.)

Page 276, L.7.....*“Just as we do not ask what is the purpose of God's existence, so we do not ask what was the object of His will, which is the cause of the existence of all things with their present properties”* (With sincere respect to Maimonides, this statement is nonsense. The question of God's existence having a purpose is not only inadmissible; it is absurd. It is absurd because even to entertain the notion of a purpose beyond God is to posit a cause for the existence of God, which is in turn to posit a creator of God, which is in turn to posit a god beyond God, which is absurd. The question of why God created has no rational connection with this absurdity and so this association is very misleading. I should stress that Maimonides was aware of the absurdity of considering a purpose for God's existence and my criticism of him here is only that he should not have associated this absurdity with the entirely valid and extremely important question of God's purpose in creating simply in order to discredit this question.)

Page 277, L.5.....*“We must be content, and not trouble our mind with seeking a certain final cause for things that have none”* (In his concluding statement.)

---

<sup>8</sup> For an anthology of Jewish writings on the subject of the purpose of creation, see: Alter M., *What Is The Purpose Of Creation, A Jewish Anthology*, (NJ: Jason Armstrong Inc., 1995). Note that Crescas' opinion is not included in this anthology.

<sup>9</sup> See Scholem G., *Encyclopedia Judaica CD-ROM Edition* → *Kabbalah* → *The Basic Ideas of Kabbalah* → *The Mystic Way* → *Devekut*, (Jerusalem, Keter Publishing House Ltd.). See also: Deuteronomy 10:20, 11:22, 13:5 and 30:19-20.